			"Kinds" of 2-factor Designs
<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></section-header>			<ul> <li>BETWEEN GROUPS FACTORIAL DESIGN: <ul> <li>each IV uses a between groups comparison</li> <li>each participant completes only one condition of the design</li> </ul> </li> <li>WITHIN-GROUPS FACTORIAL DESIGN: <ul> <li>each IV uses a within-groups comparison</li> <li>each participant completes all conditions of the design</li> </ul> </li> <li>MIXED FACTORIAL DESIGN: <ul> <li>one IVs uses a between groups comparison and the other IV uses a within-groups comparison.</li> </ul> </li> <li>each participant completes both conditions of the within-groups IV, but completes only one condition of the between groups IV.</li> <li>it is important to specify which IV is "BG" and which is "WG"</li> </ul>
Between groups	factorial design → experir designs use	mental or natural grps ed to study "differences"	
Each participant is in only one condition, having a particular combination of Initial Diagnosis and Type of Treatment.			
	Type of Treatment		
Initial Diagnosis	Individual Therapy	Group Therapy	
Depression	Clients diagnosed as depressed who are treated with individual therapy	Clients diagnosed as depressed who are treated with group therapy	
Social Anxiety	Clients diagnosed with social anxiety who are treated with individual therapy	Clients diagnosed with social anxiety who are treated with group therapy	

Mixed group factorial design → natural groups designs used to study "different changes" or "changing differences"			Mixed group factorial design → experimental designs used to increase data collection efficiency or statistical power		
Speci memi the m	es was a between groups per of one species). Each id-morning & dusk condition	IV (a turtle can only be a turtle participated in both ons of the Time of Day IV.	Type of Evidence was a between groups IV people can't read the "same study" twice & give independent ratings. Each participant rated the guilt of both Defendants a within-groups IV as they would in this type of case.		
Time of Dou	Species of	of Turtle		Type of	Evidence
Time of Day	Snapping Turtle	Painted Turtle	Painted Turtle Defendant		Eye Witness
Mid-morning	Each snapping turtle completed a trial during mid-morning	Each painted turtle completed a trial during mid-morning	Major Actor	DNA evidence was presented against the major actor	An eye witness testified to seeing the major commit the crime
Evening	Each snapping turtle completed a trial during the evening	Each painted turtle completed a trial during the evening	Conspirator	DNA evidence was presented against the conspirator	An eye witness testified to seeing the conspirator commit the crime
Within-groups factorial design – experimental designs used to increase data collection efficiency or statistical power					
Each participant completed four trials, one of each combination of Retention Interval and Word Type.					
	Retention	Interval			
Word Type	Immediate Test	Delayed Test			
Familiar	The test was given immediately after the study of a list of 40 familiar words.	The test was given 5 minutes after the study of a list of 40 familiar words.			
Unfamiliar	The test was given immediately after the study of a list of 40 unfamiliar words.	The test was given 5 minutes after the study of a list of 40 unfamiliar words.			

Within-groups factorial design – natural designs to study "changing changes"				Practice Identifying Types of Factorial Designs - answers next page The purpose of the study was to examine the possible influence of two variables upon maze-learning by rats, length of the maze (either 10 feet or 30 feet) and the size of the reward (either 1sugar pellet or 5 sugar pellets).		
Each participant was observed in both School & Home (WG) settings both when they were 12 &16 (WG)				Here are three "versions" of the study tell which is BG, WG & MG a. Each rat completed one trial. Each was assigned to either E		
Setting	12 years old	16 years old			either 1 or 5 sugar pellets upon completing the maze.	
School	Participants were observed in a school setting at age 12.	Participar observed setting at	nts were in a school age 16.		b. Each rat completed two trials in either the longer or the shorter maze. Following one trial in the assigned maze, each received 1 pellet reward, after the other trial they received the 5 pellets.	MG
Home	Participants were observed in a home setting at age 12.	nts wereParticipants wereJ in a homeobserved in a homet age 12.setting at age 16.			c. Each rat completed four trials, two in the shorter maze and two in the longer maze. Each received 1 pellet after one of the short-maze trials and 5 pellets after the other, and also 1 pellet after one of the long-maze trials and 5 pellets after the other.	WG
Remember about the causal interpretation of effects						
Start by asse	ssing the causal interpretability c	of each main eff	ect			
Remember, in order to causally interpret an interaction, you must be able to casually interpret BOTH main effects						
For each of the following: Tell the IVs and tell what effects could be causally interpreted (assuming proper RA, IV manip, and confound control were used):						
1. Male and female participants who were African American, Mexican American, or European American zilcho-causo were asked to complete a questionnaire about satisfaction with their Senators.						
2. Children played with either a toy gun, a toy car or a puzzle, some while their parents were in the room and some not. The DV was the amount of aggressive behavior they exhibited.						
3. Participants played with either a simple puzzle or a only. or one boy & one girl.						



Using Factorial Designs in Programmatic Research I

### **Combining 2 Treatments**

Perhaps the most common application of factorial designs it so look at the separate (main) and combined (interaction) effects of two IVs

Often our research starts with a simple RH: that requires only a simple 2-group BG research design.

Computer	Lecture

Keep in mind that to run this study, we made sure that none of the participants had any other treatments ! During the first lecture of this section we talked about the importance of going beyond bivariate research questions and statistical analyses to multivariate questions and analyses.

Multicausality is the idea that behavior is complicated – that any behavior has multiple causes, and so, can be better studied using multivariate research designs with multiple IVs than with bivariate research designs with only a single IV!!!

So, multivariate research can be used to ....

• involve multiple IVs in a single study → to get a more complete picture of the interrelationships among the behaviors we are studying

• "check up" on previous results from bivariate research  $\rightarrow$  to see if the results we got "hold up" within a multivariate context

• is "the effect" we found with the bivariate analysis what we "thought it was"?

# Factorial Designs – Separate (Main) and combined (interaction) effects of two treatments

At some point we are likely use Factorial designs to ask ourselves about how a  $2^{nd}$  IV also relates to the DV



Remember the Brownies? We wanted to look at the individual and combined effects of 2 ingredients!!

That required a factorial design.

2-cups of sugar 3 2 4-cups of 5 9		4 blocks of choc.	8 blocks of choc.
4-cups of 5 9	2-cups of sugar	3	2
Sugar	4-cups of sugar	5	9

Using Factorial Designs in Programmatic Research II

### "Correcting" Bivariate Studies



However, when we analyzed the same data including both variables as IVs There are Feedback effects	Familiar Unfamiliar both for Familia	~Fback 60 20 40 ır & Unfar	Fback 20 60 40 miliar –	<ul> <li>When to ask, "Is that conditional or unconditional"??? #1 → Treatment effects</li> <li>We are constantly being told that some treatment "work "works better"</li> <li>We must immediately ask, "Always? Really? What about different?? "</li> <li>Diet A is better than Diet B for</li> </ul>	ss" or but for
marginal means are There are Familiarity effects means are an "aggre	an "aggregation both Fback & ~ gation error"	Fback –	the mar	inal "people who work out" is	
So, instead of the "neither v multivariate result shows th they interact !!!!!	variable matters' at both variables	bivariate related	e results to the D	the group – there are many kinds of "work out" !!	
When to ask, "Is that cond #2 → Differences betwe We are constantly being tol than other groups … We must immediately ask,	litional or uncon en "kinds" of pe d that some gro "Always? Reall <u>y</u>	ditional"? ople ups "have /? What	?? e it bette about fo	"»	
different?? "		Crown 1	Crown 2		
"Group 1 gets paid	lob 1	40	Group 2		
more than Group 2!"	Job 1	2.0	25		
there are many kinds of	Job 3	20	15		
"jobs" !!	Job 4	25	25		
•	Job 5	15	20		
and the "story" is very	Job 6	15	20		
different for different jobs!		22.5	20		

22.5

20

Using Factorial Designs in Programmatic Research III

Between Groups Factorial Designs:

Generalizability across Populations, Settings & Tasks

#### it is important to check whether 60 40 Often our research starts with a simple RH: that requires only a changing those selections changes simple 2-group BG research design. the results. If there is no interaction - if the results "don't depend upon" the Keep in mind that to run this population, task/stimulus, setting, etc - we need to know that, study, we had to make some so we can apply the results of the study to our theory or Computer Lecture choices/selections: practice, confident in their generalizability For example: population $\rightarrow$ College Students If there is an interaction - if the results "depend upon" the setting $\rightarrow$ Lecture setting population, task/stimulus, setting, etc - we need to know that, stim/task $\rightarrow$ teach Psychology so we can apply the "correct version" of the study to our theory or practice Between Groups Factorial Designs - Do effects "depend upon" Populations, Settings or Tasks? At some point we are likely use BG Factorial designs to ask ourselves Тx Control how well the results will generalize to: Col • other populations - college vs. high school • other settings - lecture vs. laboratory HS other tasks/stimuli – psyc vs. philosophy Тx Control Тx Control Lecture Psyc **On-line** Phil

Using Factorial Designs in Programmatic Research III

Computer

Lecture

When we've found and replicated

an effect, making certain selections,



Mixed Groups Factorial Designs for Time Course Investigations

Using this simple BG design we can "not notice" some important things. A MG Factorial can help explore the time course of the Tx effects.

By using a MG design, with different lengths of Tx as the 2<sup>nd</sup> IV, we might find different patterns of data that we would give very different interpretations



Using Factorial Designs in Programmatic Research IV

Mixed Groups Factorial Designs:

### Time-course research

As before, often our research starts with a simple RH: that requires only a simple 2-group BG research design.



**Time Course Investigations** 

In order to run this study we had to select ONE treatment duration (say 16 weeks):

- we assign participants to each condition
- begin treatment of the Tx groups
- treat for 16 weeks and then measured the DV

 Tx<sub>1</sub>
 Tx<sub>2</sub>

 20
 40

16 wks 32 wks



32 wks

0

60

Using Factorial Designs in Programmatic Research V Mixed Groups Factorial Designs:

## Evaluating initial equivalence if RA is not possible

As before, often our research starts with a simple RH: that requires only a simple 2-group BG research design.



Initial Equivalence Investigations

In order to causally interpret the results of this study, we'd have to have initial equivalence

- but we can't always RA & manipulate the IV
- So what can we do to help interpret the post-treatment differences of the two treatments?
- Answer compare the groups before treatment too!

Mixed Groups Factorial Designs to evaluate Initial Equivalence

By using a MG design, we can compare the groups pre-treatment and use that information to better evaluate post-treatment group differences (but can't really infer cause). For which of these would you be more comfortable conclusing that  $Tx_1 > Tx_2$ ??



