

Comfort Page for Bivariate Statistical Analyses

1. Determine the appropriate statistical model, based on ...
 - a. the variables involved (qual vs. quant)
 - b. type or research hypothesis (mean dif, pattern dif or linear relationship)
2. "Draw the boxes" for the RH:
 - a. 2-cell box for ANOVA – label IV and its conditions and specify if BG or WG
 - b. scatterplot for r – label variables
 - c. 2×2 for X^2 -- label both variables and the conditions of each
3. Specify H_0 :
 - a. "no mean differences" for ANOVA – symbolic representation using = for 2-cell box
 - b. "no linear relationship" for r – draw circle in scatterplot
 - c. "no pattern of relationship" for X^2 -- symbolic representation using <, > & = in 2×2 box
4. Specify RH:
 - a. "mean difference" (or not) for ANOVA – symbolic representation using <, > or = for 2-cell box
 - b. "direction of linear relationship" (or not) for r – draw ovoid or circle
 - c. "pattern of relationship" (or not) – symbolic representation using <, > and/or = in 2×2 box
5. *A priori* power analysis -- need effect size estimate and desired power to look up sample size
 - a. $S = N$ for study using ANOVA, $N/2 = n$ in each condition
 - b. $S = N$ for study using r
 - c. $S = N$ for study using X^2 (divide into halves if one of the qual variables is an "IV")
6. Data Cleaning & Transformations as necessary
 - a. Remember to do separate outlier analyses for each condition of ANOVA designs
7. H_0 : test
 - a. For ANOVA -- use $p < .05$ or $F > F\text{-critical}$ ($df = 1 \ \& \ N-2$)
 - b. For r – use $p < .05$ or $|r| > r\text{-critical}$ ($df = N-2$)
 - c. For X^2 -- use $p < .05$ or $X^2 > X^2\text{-critical}$ ($df = \#row-1 \ * \ \#col-1$)
8. Determine probability of NHST error
 - a. If reject H_0 : -- probability of Type I error is p (or .05 if used critical value to make the decision)
 - b. If reject H_0 : -- probability of Type III error is not easily calculated
 - c. If retain H_0 : -- probability of Type II error is $1 - \text{power}$
 - Power estimate requires r & S
 - Calculating r for ANOVA or X^2
 - For ANOVA -- $r = \sqrt{F / (F + df_{\text{error}})}$ $df_{\text{error}} = N-2$
 - For X^2 -- $r = \sqrt{X^2/N}$
 - Calculating S
 - For ANOVA – $S = df_{\text{error}} + 2 = N$
 - For X^2 -- $S = N$ (might have to count up the numbers in the four cells)
 - For r – $S = df + 2 = N$
9. RH: test -- be sure to check direction of effect and of RH (not just celebrate if reject H_0):
 - a. For ANOVA – does pattern of mean difference match RH: (RH: might be H_0) – no partial support
 - b. For r – does direction of linear relationship match RH: (RH: might be H_0) – no partial support
 - c. For X^2 -- does pattern match RH: (RH: might be H_0):
 - about "partial support" – we'll limit it to when there is an effect ($p < .05$) but not the specific RH: pattern
10. Calculating Effect Size
 - For ANOVA -- $r = \sqrt{F / (F + df_{\text{error}})}$ $df_{\text{error}} = N-2$
 - For X^2 -- $r = \sqrt{X^2/N}$
11. Determining causal interpretability of results – check if RA of individuals, IV manipulation and confound control
 - a. Remember to check for counterbalancing of WG designs
 - b. Remember that out-of-lab & longer studies have greater chances for ongoing equivalence problems
12. Evaluating "replication" of earlier results
 - a. Be sure that operationalization of variables and design components for the two studies are "comparable"
 - b. Compare results ...
 - Effect size & pattern (r , mean difference or frequency pattern, as appropriate)
 - Significance of results (power analysis may be helpful if r s are similar but NHST results differ)
13. *A priori* power analysis for "next study" – use effect size estimate from current study and desired power to look up sample size
 - a. $S = N$ for study using ANOVA, $N/2 = n$ in each condition
 - b. $S = N$ for study using r
 - c. $S = N$ for study using X^2 (divide into halves if one of the qual variables is an "IV")