

## Statistical Analysis of Factorial Designs

- ⌘ Research Hypotheses for Factorial Designs
- ⌘ The F-tests of a Factorial ANOVA
- ⌘ Using LSD to describe the pattern of an interaction

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### RH: for Factorial Designs

Research hypotheses for factorial designs may include

- RH: for main effects
  - involve the effects of one IV, while ignoring the other IV
  - tested by comparing the appropriate marginal means
- RH: for interactions
  - usually expressed as "different differences" -- differences between a set of simple effects
  - tested by comparing the results of the appropriate set of simple effects
  - That's the hard part -- determining which set of simple effects gives the most direct test of the interaction RH:

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Sometimes the Interaction RH: is explicitly stated

- when that happens, one set of SEs will provide a direct test of the RH: (the other won't)

Here's an example:

Easy tasks will be performed equally well using paper or computer presentation, however, hard tasks will be performed better using computer presentation than paper.

		Presentation	
		Comp	Paper
Task Diff.	Easy	=	
	Hard	>	

This is most directly tested by inspecting the simple effect of paper vs. computer presentation for easy tasks, and comparing it to the simple effect of paper vs. computer for hard tasks.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Your Turn...

Young boys will rate playing with an electronic toy higher than playing with a puzzle, whereas young girls will have no difference in ratings given to the two types of toys.

Gender	Type of Toy	
	Elec.	Puzzle
Boys	>	
Girls	=	

ANCOVA, cont.

Judges will rate confessions as more useful than eyewitness testimony, whereas Lawyers will rate eyewitness testimony as more useful than confessions.

Who	Type of Evidence	
	Confession	Witness
Judge	>	
Lawyer	<	

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Sometimes the set of SEs to use is "inferred" ...

Often one of the IVs in the study was used in previous research, and the other is "new".

- In this case, we will usually examine the simple effect of the "old" variable, at each level of the "new" variable
- this approach gives us a clear picture of the replication and generalization of the "old" IV's effect.

e.g., Previously I demonstrated that computer presentations lead to better learning of statistical designs than does using a conventional lecture. I would like to know if the same is true for teaching writing.

Let's take this "apart" to determine which set of SEs to use to examine the pattern of the interaction...

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Previously I demonstrated that computer presentations lead to better learning of statistical designs than does using a conventional lecture. I would like to know if the same is true for teaching writing.

Here's the design and result of the earlier study about learning stats. →

	Type of Instruction	
	Comp	Lecture
	>	

Here's the design of the study being planned.

Topic	Type of Instruction	
	Comp	Lecture
Stats		
Writing		

What cells are a replication of the earlier study?

So, which set of SEs will allow us to check if we got the replication, and then go on to see if we get the same results with the new topic?

Yep, SE of Type of Instruction, for each Topic ...

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Your turn ..

I have previously demonstrated that rats learn Y-mazes faster than do hamsters. I wonder if the same is true for radial mazes ?

Type of Rodent	
Rat	Hamster
	<

I've discovered that Psyc and Soc majors learn statistics about equally well. My next research project will also compare these types of students on how well they learn research ethics.

Major	
Psyc	Soc
	=

Maze	Type of Rodent	
	Rat	Hamster
Y		<
Radial		?

Topic	Major	
	Psyc	Soc
Stats		=
Ethics		?

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Sometimes the RH: about the interaction and one about the main effects are "combined"

- this is particularly likely when the expected interaction pattern is of the > vs. > type (the most common pattern in Psyc)

Here's an example...

Group therapy tends to work better than individual therapy, although this effect is larger for patients with social anxiety than with agoraphobia.

Anxiety	Type of Therapy	
	Group	Indiv.
Social		>
Agora.		>

Int. RH:      >

Main effect RH:      >

So, we would examine the interaction by looking at the SEs of Type of Therapy for each type of Anxiety.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Statistical Analysis of 2x2 Factorial Designs

Like a description of the results based upon inspection of the means, formal statistical analyses of factorial designs has five basic steps:

- Tell IVs and DV      2. Present data in table or figure
- Determine if the interaction is significant
  - if it is, describe it in terms of one of the sets of simple effects.
- Determine whether or not the first main effect is significant
  - if it is, describe it
  - determine if that main effect is descriptive or misleading
- Determine whether or not the second main effect is significant
  - if it is, describe it
  - determine if that main effect is descriptive or misleading

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Statistical Analysis of a 2x2 Design

Task Difficulty (b)	Task Presentation (a)		SE of Presentation for Easy Tasks
	Paper	Computer	
Easy	90	70	80
Hard	40	60	50
	65	65	SE for Presentation for Hard Tasks

  

Presentation Main Effect	Difficulty Main Effect	Interaction Effect
$SS_{\text{Presentation}}$	$SS_{\text{Difficulty}}$	$SS_{\text{Interaction}}$
65 vs. 65	80 vs. 50	$SE_{\text{Easy}}$ vs. $SE_{\text{Hard}}$

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Constructing F-tests for a 2x2 Factorial

$$F_{\text{Presentation}} = \frac{(SS_{\text{Presentation}} / df_{\text{Presentation}})}{(SS_{\text{Error}} / df_{\text{Error}})}$$

$$F_{\text{Difficulty}} = \frac{(SS_{\text{Difficulty}} / df_{\text{Difficulty}})}{(SS_{\text{Error}} / df_{\text{Error}})}$$

$$F_{\text{Interaction}} = \frac{(SS_{\text{Interaction}} / df_{\text{Interaction}})}{(SS_{\text{Error}} / df_{\text{Error}})}$$


---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Statistical Analyses Necessary to Describe the Interaction of a 2x2 Design

However, the F-test of the interaction only tells us whether or not there is a "statistically significant" interaction...

- it does not tell use the pattern of that interaction
- to determine the pattern of the interaction we have to compare the simple effects
- to describe each simple effect, we must be able to compare the cell means

we need to know how much of a cell mean difference is "statistically significant"

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Using LSD to Compare cell means to describe the simple effects of a 2x2 Factorial design

- LSD can be used to determine how large of a cell mean difference is required to treat it as a “statistically significant mean difference”
- Will need to know three values to use the computerator
  - $df_{error}$  -- look on the printout or use  $N - 4$
  - $MS_{error}$  -- look on the printout
  - $n = N / 4$  -- use the decimal value -- do not round to the nearest whole number!

**Remember – only use the lsdmmd to compare cell means. Marginal means are compared using the man effect F-tests.**

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Using the Pairwise Comparator & LSDmmd to Compare cell means to describe the simple effects of a 2x2 Factorial design

For a 2x2 BG Factorial Design

Descriptive Statistics

Type of reinforcement	Type of task	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Praise	simple	7.0000	1.5116	5
	complex	7.0000	2.0000	5
Criticism	simple	7.0000	2.1818	5
	complex	2.0000	1.6419	5
Total	simple	7.0000	1.7728	10
	complex	4.5000	1.1358	10
Total		5.7500	2.2804	20

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	104.550 <sup>a</sup>	3	34.850	10.363	.000
Intercept	708.050	1	708.050	209.783	.000
REIN	36.450	1	36.450	10.800	.005
TASK	42.000	1	42.000	12.403	.001
REIN * TASK	26.450	1	26.450	7.952	.011
Error	54.000	16	3.375		
Total	867.000	20			
Corrected Total	158.550	19			

Minimum Mean Difference Computer

Number of conditions in the effect:

Mean Square Error (MSE):

Compute LSD & HSD minimum mean differences

LSD=10.363  
HSD=10.363

Annotations:  $k = 4$  conditions,  $n = N/4 = 20/4 = 5$

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Support for Interaction RH:s

To be “fully supported” a RH: about an interaction must correctly specify both of the SEs involved in that RH: test.

Gender	Type of Toy	
	Elec.	Puzzle
Boys	>	
Girls	=	

Tell if each RH: is fully, partially or not supported

- Boys will prefer Electric Toys to Puzzles, while girls will prefer Puzzles to Toys. partial
- Girls will prefer Electric Toys to Puzzles, while boys will show no preference none
- Boys will prefer Electric Toys to Puzzles, girls will too, but to a lesser extent. partial
- Boys will prefer Electric Toys to Puzzles, while girls will have no preference full

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Statistical Analyses Necessary to Describe Main Effects of a 2x2 Design

In a 2x2 Design, the Main effects F-tests are sufficient to tell us about the relationship of each IV to the DV...

- since each main effect involves the comparison of two marginal means -- the corresponding significance test tells us what we need to know ...
- whether or not those two marginal means are "significantly different"
- Don't forget to examine the means to see if a significant difference is in the hypothesized direction !!!

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Support for Main effect RH:s

A RH: about a Main effect is only fully supported if that Main effect is descriptive.

RH: Electric Toys are preferred to Puzzles – tell if each of the following give full, partial or no support ...

	Elec   Puz	Elec   Puz	Elec   Puz
Boys	>	=	=
Girls	=	=	>
	>	=	=
	Partial	None	Partial

  

	Elec   Puz	Elec   Puz	Elec   Puz
Boys	=	>	>
Girls	>	=	>
	>	=	>
	Partial	Partial	Full

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### What statistic is used for which factorial effects????

Age	Gender		
	Male	Female	
5	30	30	30
10	20	30	25
	25	30	

- This design as 7 "effects"
1. Main effect of age
  2. Main effect of gender
  3. Interaction of age & gender
  4. SE of age for males
  5. SE of age for females
  6. SE of gender for 5 yr olds
  7. SE of gender for 10 yr olds

There will be 4 statistics

1.  $F_{Age}$
2.  $F_{Gender}$
3.  $F_{Int}$
4.  $LSD_{mmd}$

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

What statistic is used for which factorial effects????

		Gender			
		Male	Female		
Age	5	50	30	40	Are 40 & 70 different ? $F_{Age}$
	10	60	80	70	Are 50 & 30 different ? $LSD_{mmd}$
		25	30		Are 30 & 80 different ? $LSD_{mmd}$
					Are 50 & 60 differently different than 30 & 80 ? $F_{Int}$
1.	$F_{Age}$	$p = .021$			Are 50 & 60 different ? $LSD_{mmd}$
2.	$F_{Gender}$	$p = .082$			Are 25 & 30 different ? $F_{Gender}$
3.	$F_{Int}$	$p = .001$			Are 50 & 30 differently different than 60 & 80 ? $F_{Int}$
4.	$LSD_{mmd}$	$= 15$			Are 60 & 80 different ? $LSD_{mmd}$

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Applying  $l_{mmd}$  to 2x2 BG ANOVA

		Task Presentation			
		Paper	Computer		
Task Difficulty	Easy	60	90		for the interaction $F(1,56) = 6.5, p = .023$
	Hard	60	70		$l_{mmd} = 14$

Is there an interaction effect? Based on what?  
Yes! F-test of Int

for the following, tell the mean difference and apply the  $l_{mmd}$

Simple effect of Task Presentation	30	>
SE of Task Presentation for Easy Tasks	10	=
SE of Task Presentation for Hard Tasks		
Simple effects of Task Difficulty	0	
SE of Task Difficulty for Paper Pres.		
SE of Task Difficulty for Comp. Pres.	20	v

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Applying  $l_{mmd}$  to 2x2 BG ANOVA

		Task Presentation			
		Paper	Computer		
Task Difficulty	Easy	60	90	75	for Difficulty ME $F(1,56) = 4.5, p = .041$
	Hard	60	70	65	$l_{mmd} = 14$

Is there a Task Difficulty main effect? Based on what?  
Yes! F-test of ME

Is main effect descriptive (unconditional) or potentially misleading (conditional)?

Simple effects of Task Difficulty	0	
SE of Task Difficulty for Paper Pres.		
SE of Task Difficulty for Comp. Pres.	20	v

Descriptive only for Computer presentation; misleading for Paper presentations.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**Applying  $l_{sd_{mmd}}$  to 2x2 BG ANOVA**

Task Difficulty	Task Presentation		
	Paper	Computer	
Easy	60	90	for Presentation ME $F(1,56) = 7.2, p = .011$
Hard	60	70	
	60	80	$l_{sd_{mmd}} = 14$

Is there a Task Presentation main effect? Based on what? **Yes! F-test of ME**

Is main effect descriptive (unconditional) or potentially misleading (conditional)?  
 Simple effects of Task Difficulty

SE of Task Presentation for Easy Tasks	30	<
SE of Task Presentation for Hard Tasks	10	=

Descriptive only for Easy tasks; misleading for Difficult tasks.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**Effect Sizes for 2x2 BG Factorial designs**

For Main Effects & Interaction (each w/  $df=1$ )

$$r = \sqrt{[ F / (F + df_{error}) ]}$$

Rem: This effect size can only be compared with other interaction effects from exactly the same factorial design

For Simple Effects

$$d = (M1 - M2) / \sqrt{Mserror}$$

$$r = \sqrt{ \left[ \frac{d^2}{d^2 + 4} \right] } \quad (\text{An "approximation formula"})$$

Rem: The effects size for a pairwise comparison can be compared with that pair of conditions from any study.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---