

Measurement Validity

- Measurement error → bad data → worthless results
- Is the IV properly manipulated?
- Is the DV properly measured?
- Are the values we have for **every** measure/behavior correct?
- Observational, Self-report or Trace?
- Primary or Archival data?

Every Measure/Behavior is either...

	Constant	Variable
Measured	1	2
Manipulated	3	4

IV → causes DV

- **Temporal Precedence**
- **Reliable statistical relationship**
- **No alternative hypotheses/confounds**

Measure/behavior types & their "roles" in a design

Statistical Conclusion Validity

- IV & DV can't be causally related if not statistically related
- **Statistical significance tests**
- **Programmatic Research** – novel RH tests, replication & convergence

Every Measure/Behavior Plays a "Role" in a Study

Causal Variable (IV) 4 – Ongoing Eq
Effect Variable (DV) 2 -- Ongoing Eq

Control Constant 1 -- Initial Eq 3 -- Ongoing Eq
Control Variable 2 – Initial Eq 4 -- Ongoing Eq
Confounding Variable 2 – Initial Eq 4 -- Ongoing Eq

External Validity

Population -- Participant Sampling

Target population	↪	Complete or Purposive
Sampling Frame	↪	Researcher-selected or Self-selected
Selected Sample	↪	Simple or Stratified
Data Sample	↪	Attrition

Setting

- Laboratory, Structured or Field ?

Task/Stimulus

- Familiar/Representative or Unfamiliar/Control ?

Societal/Temporal

- Relationships among variables change over time in a society

Choices we make influence
Internal and External
Validity !!

Participants

representation vs. control

Setting

representation vs. control

Task-Stimulus

representation vs. control

Internal Validity

Design

	BG	WG
True Experiment	☺	☺
Non-experiment	☹	☹

Initial Equivalence – Participant Assignment

- RA of individual participants by the researcher before manipulation of the IV -- best but not a guarantee
- Without proper RA all subject variables are potential confounds
- Subject constants can't be confounding variables
- Subject variables that are equivalent across IV conditions are control variables
- Subject variables that are nonequivalent across IV conditions are confounding variables – even if RA was used (remember RA doesn't always work)

Ongoing Equivalence – Procedural Standardization

- Only the IV is different across IV conditions
- Procedural constants can not be confounding variables
- Procedural variables that are equivalent across IV conditions are control variables
- Procedural variables that are nonequivalent across IV conditions are confounding variables
- Ongoing equivalence is harder to maintain in field settings
- Ongoing equivalence is harder to maintain during longer procedures