Review of Factorial Designs

- 5 terms necessary to understand factorial designs
- 5 patterns of factorial results for a 2x2 factorial designs
- Descriptive & misleading main effects
- Research Hypotheses for Factorial Designs
- Causal Interpretation of Factorial Design Effects
- Statistical Analysis of 2x2 Designs
- "Sizes" and "Kinds" of Factorial Designs
- Statistical Analysis of kxk Designs

Introduction to factorial designs

Factorial designs have 2 (or more) Independent Variables

An Example...

Forty clients at a local clinic volunteered to participate in a research project designed to examine the individual and combined effects of the client's Initial Diagnosis (either general anxiety or social anxiety) and the Type of Therapy they receive (either group or individual). Twenty of the participants had been diagnosed with general anxiety and 20 had been diagnosed as having social anxiety. One-half of the clients with each diagnosis were assigned to receive group therapy and onehalf received individual therapy. All clients underwent 6 months of the prescribed treatment, and then completed a battery of assessments which were combined into a DV score of "wellness from anxiety", for which larger scores indicate better outcome. Here is a depiction of this design.

Type of Therapy

Initial Diagnosis Group Individual						
General Anxiety	clients diagnosed w/ general anxiety who received group therapy	clients diagnosed w/ general anxiety who received individual therapy				
Social Anxiety	clients diagnosed w/ social anxiety who received group therapy	clients diagnosed w/ social anxiety who received individual therapy				

Participants in each "cell" of this design have a unique combination of IV conditions.

Effects examined by a factorial design

There are always THREE effects (IVs) examined ..

- 1 -- the interaction of the two IVs -- how they jointly relate to DV
- 2 -- the main effect of the one IV -- how it relates to the DV independently of the interaction and the other main effect
- 3 -- the main effect of the other IV -- how it relates to the DV independently of the interaction and the other main effect

For the example...

- 1 -- the "interaction" of Initial Diagnosis & Type of Therapy
- 2 -- the "main effect" of Initial Diagnosis
- 3 -- the "main effect" of Type of Therapy

The difficult part of learning about factorial designs is the large set of new terms that must be acquired. Here's a summary;

cell means -- the mean DV score of all the folks with a particular combination of IV treatments

marginal means -- the mean DV score of all the folks in a particular condition of the specified IV (aggregated across conditions of the other IV)

Main effects involve the comparison of marginal means. Simple effects involve the comparison of cell means. Interactions involve the comparison of simple effects.

Identifying Cell Means and Marginal Means

Initial Diagnosis

Group Individual

Type of Therapy

General Anxiety Social Anxiety



Cell means → mean DV of subjects in each design cell Marginal means → average mean DV of all subjects in one condition of an IV

Identifying Main Effec	ts difference of that IV (between the ignoring the	e marginal means other IV)	Identifying Simple Eff conditions of c	fects cell me one IV for a spe	eans diffe ecific leve	erences between el of the other IV
Initial Diagnosis	Type of T Group	herapy Individual		Initial Diagnosis	Type o Group Ir	f Therap ndividual	у
General Anxiety	50	50	50	General Anxiety	50	50	1
Anxiety	90	10	50	Anxiety	90	10	2
	70	30			а	b	
Main eff	ect of Initial Diag	gnosis herapy		Simple effects of Initia a Simple effect of b Simple effect of	al Diagnosis fo of Initial Diagno of Initial Diagno	or each T osis for g osis for ir	ype of Therapy roup therapy ndividual therapy
Identifying Simple Effe	ects cell mear ne IV for a speci	ns difference	es between ne other IV				
Initial Diagnosis	Type of T Group Indi	Therapy Ividual					
General Anxiety	50	50 1					
Social							
Anxiety	90	10 2					
	а	b					
Simple effects of Type	e of Therapy for	each Initial	Diagnosis				

1 Simple effect of Type of Therapy for general anxiety patients

2 Simple effect of Type of Therapy for social anxiety patients

Identifying Interactions

Patterns of data that include interactions can be identified and described using the "it depends" approach. This approach is referred to different ways, here are three commonly used expressions"

- the simple effect of one IV is different at different levels of the other IV
- "different differences"
- "different simple effects"

Here are the three basic patterns of interactions

#1	Task Presentation			
Took Difficulty	Paper	Co	mpute	r
Task Difficulty				
Easy	90	+	90	one simple effect "null"
Hard	40	<	70	one simple effect

There is an interaction of Task Presentation and Task Difficulty as they relate to performance. Easy tasks are performed equally well using paper and using the computer (90 vs. 90), however, hard tasks are performed better using the computer than using paper (70 vs. 40).

#2	Task Pı Paper	resen Co	tation mputer	
Task Difficulty				
Easy	80	<	90	simple effects in the same direction.
Hard	40	<	70	but of different sizes

There is an interaction of Task Presentation and Task Difficulty as they relate to performance. Performance was better using the computer than using paper, however this effect was larger for hard tasks (70 vs. 40) than for easy tasks (90 vs. 80).

#3	Task Presentation		Here are the two	basic pat	tterns of N	NON-interactions
Task Difficulty	Paper Computer	r	#1	Task Pro	esentatio	n
Easy	90 > 70	simple effects are	Task Difficulty	Paper	Compu	uter
Hard	40 < 60	opposite directions	Easy	30	< 50	both simple effects are in the
Thoro is an intor	action of Tack Procon	tation and Tack Difficulty as	Hard	50	< 70	the same size
There is an interaction of Task Presentation and Task Difficulty as they relate to performance. Easy tasks are performed better using paper than using computer (90 vs. 70), whereas hard tasks are performed better using the computer than using paper (60 vs. 40).			There is no interaction of Task Presentation and Task Difficulty as they relate to performance. Performance is better for computer than for paper presentations (for both Easy and Hard tasks). Notice the main effects will be descriptive.			
#2	Task Presentation					
Task Difficulty	Paper Computer	r				
Easy	50 = 50	both simple effects				
Hard	70 = 70	are nulls				
There is no intera they relate to per computer and pa Notice the main o	action of Task Presen formance. Performan oper presentations (for effects will be descrip	tation and Task Difficulty as nce is the same for r both Easy and Hard tasks). tive.				

Ö

Identifying Main Effects

Patterns of data that include main effects can be identified by looking at the differences among the marginal means for a specific IV (the main effect of each IV must be examined and described separately !!!)

• When there is an interaction, each main effect (null or significant) must be carefully examined to determine if that main effect is

-"descriptive" (unconditional, that is, descriptive for all levels of the other IV) or is

• "potentially misleading (conditional, that is, descriptive for only some or none of the levels of the other IV)

• You must determine whether the pattern of each main effect (direction of any difference between the marginal means) is equivalent to each of the corresponding simple effects of that variable at the various levels of the other I

Identifying Main Effects

It is not uncommon to hear the advice to "ignore main effects if there is an interaction."

My best guess is that this is based on the correct idea that the pattern of some main effects can render the pattern of one or both main effects to be potentially or completely misleading.

However, it is also possible that there can be an interaction and that one or both of the main effects can be descriptive.

Discerning whether main effects are descriptive or misleading is a critical step in the examination of data from a factorial design! You must ensure that the reader has a thorough understanding of the pattern of your data!

You must give a complete accounting of each of the three effects involved in the factorial design, the interaction and each of the main effects!

Interpreting main effects ... When there is an interaction, the pattern of the interaction may influence the interpretability (generality) of the description of the marginal means.



Notice: that the pattern of the main effect is consistent with both the simple effect of Task Presentation for easy tasks and the simple effect of Task Presentation for hard tasks.



Fask Difficulty	Task Presentation Paper Computer				
Easy	90 >	70			
Hard	40 <	60			
	65 =	65			

There is no main effect for Task Presentation, overall performance was equivalent using computer presentation and using paper presentation. However, this pattern is descriptive for neither hard tasks, for which computer presentations worked better than paper, nor for easy tasks, for which performance was better using paper presentations than using computer presentation. We can rearrange the 5 basic patterns of results from a 2x2 Factorial to help us think about interactions and descriptive/misleading main effects

Interaction	(1. = vs. <	one null simple effect and one simple effect	Misleading
effects of different size	2. < vs. >	simple effects in opposite directions	main effects
direction	3. < vs. <	simple effects in same direction, but different sizes	
No Interaction	4. < vs. <	simple effects of the same size in the same direction	Descriptive main effects
effects are null or same size	(5. = vs. =	both null simple effects	Ō

Sometimes the Interaction RH: is explicitly stated • when that happens, one set of SEs will provide a direct test of the RH: (the other won't) Presentation Task Diff. Comp Here's an example: Easy tasks will be performed equally well using paper or Easy computer presentation, however, hard tasks will be performed better Hard using computer presentation than paper.

This is most directly tested by inspecting the simple effect of paper vs. computer presentation for easy tasks, and comparing it to the simple effect of paper vs. computer for hard tasks.



RH: for Factorial Designs

Research hypotheses for factorial designs may include

- RH: for main effects
 - involve the effects of one IV, while ignoring the other IV
 - tested by comparing the appropriate marginal means
- RH: for interactions
 - usually expressed as "different differences" -- differences between a set of simple effects
 - tested by comparing the results of the appropriate set of simple effects
 - That's the hard part -- determining which set of simple effects gives the most direct test of the interaction RH:

Sometimes the set of SEs to examine use is "inferred" ...

Often one of the IVs in the study was used in previous research, and the other is "new".

- In this case, we will usually examine the simple effect of the "old" variable, at each level of the "new" variable
- •this approach gives us a clear picture of the replication and generalization of the "old" IV's effect.

e.g., Previously I demonstrated that computer presentations lead to better learning of statistical designs than does using a conventional lecture. I would like to know if the same is true for teaching writing.

Let's take this "apart" to determine which set of SEs to use to examine the pattern of the interaction...

Previously I demonstrated that computer presentations lead to better learning of statistical designs than does using a conventional lecture. I would like to know if the same is true for teaching writing.



Sometimes the RH: about the interaction and one of the main effects are "combined"

 this is particularly likely when the expected interaction pattern is of the > vs. > type



Describing Factorial Effects	In order to causally interpret an interaction, you must be able to casually interpret BOTH main effectsor		
 Important things to remember: main effects and the interaction are 3 separate effects each must be separately interpreted three parts to the "story" 	In order to causally interpret an interaction you must be able to causally interpret the difference between casually interpretable simple effects.		
 most common error "interaction is different main effects" best thing be sure to carefully separate the three parts of the story and tell each completely 	Study of Age and Gender	no casually interpretable effects (main effects nor interaction)	
 Be careful of "causal words" when interpreting main effects and interactions (only use when really appropriate). caused, effected influenced, produced, changed 	Study of Age and Type of Toy (RA + Manip)	only casually interpretable effect would be the main effect of Type of Toy (not the main effect of Age, nor the interaction).	
 Consider more than the "significance" consider effect sizes, confidence intervals, etc. when describing the results 	Study Type of Toy (RA + Manip) and Playing Situation (RA + manip)	all effects are causally interpreted (both main effects and the interaction).	

About the causal interpretation of effects of a factorial design...

ō

Statistical Analysis of a 2x2 Design



Statistical Analyses Necessary to Describe Main Effects of a 2x2 Design

In a 2x2 Design, the Main effects F-tests are sufficient to tell us about the relationship

Statistical Analyses Necessary to Describe the Interaction of a 2x2 Design

 bout the relationship of each IV to the DV since each main effect involves the comparison of two marginal means the corresponding significance test tells us what we need to know 	However, the F-test of the interaction only tells us whether or not there is a "statistically significant" interaction
 whether or not those two marginal means are "significantly different" <u>Don't forget</u> to examine the means to see if a significant difference is in the hypothesized direction !!! 	 it does not tell use the pattern of that interaction to determine the pattern of the interaction we have to compare the simple effects to describe each simple effect, we must be able to compare the cell means
	we need to know how much of a cell mean difference is "statistically significant"
Using LSD to Compare cell means to describe the	
simple effects of a 2x2 Factorial design	
 LSD can be used to determine how large of a cell mean difference is required to treat it as a "statistically significant mean difference" 	
 Will need to know three values to use the computator 	
• df_{error} look on the printout or use N – 4	
 MS_{error} – look on the printout 	
 n = N / 4 use the decimal value – do not round to the nearest whole number! 	
Remember – only use the lsdmmd to compare cell means. Marginal means are compared using the man effect F-tests.	





Effect Sizes for 2x2 BG Factorial designs

For Main Effects & Interaction (each w/ df=1)

 $r = \sqrt{[F / (F + df_{error})]}$

For Main Effects & Simple Effects

d = (M₁ - M₂) /
$$\sqrt{M}$$
serror

 $r = \sqrt{\left(\frac{d^2}{d^2 + 4}\right)}$ (This is an "approximation formula")

2) Add a 3rd IV (making a 3-way factorial design)

2) Add a 3r Computer Instruction Lecture Instruction	d IV (making a 3-way factor Learning Psyc Methods Ugrads Grads	Learning Psyc Co Ugrads Grac	 At Statistical Analyses Necessary to Describe Main Effects of a kxk Design In a kxk Design, the Main effects F-tests are sufficient to tell us about the relationship of each IV to the DV only for 2-condition main effects since a 2-condition main effect involves the comparison of two marginal means the corresponding F-test tells us what we need to know – the two marginal means are different however, for a k-condition main effect, the F-test only tells us that there is a pattern of significant differences among the marginal means, but doesn't tell us which means are significantly different for a k-condition main effect we need to use an I SDmmd to
			determine which pairs of marginal means are significantly different
Statis As with the only tells u interaction • it does • we ne means	stical Analyses Nece the Interaction of a 2x2 design, the interaction s whether or not there is a s not tell use the pattern of t eed to use an LSDmmd to de are significantly different	ssary to Descril kxk Design F-test for a kxk desi 'statistically significa that interaction etermine which pairs	cell
Be su you c n = l	ire you are using the ompute LSDmmd N / #conditions in tha	correct "n" whe	

Effect Sizes for kxk BG Factorial designs

For Main Effects & Interaction (each w/ df=1)

$$r = \sqrt{[F / (F + df_{error})]}$$

For specific comparisons among marginal or cell means

d =
$$(M_1 - M_2) / \sqrt{Mserror}$$

r = $\sqrt{\left(\frac{d^2}{d^2 + 4}\right)}$ (This is an "approximation formula")

What statistic is used for which factorial effects????

There will be 5 statistics



Back to \rightarrow 100 males and 100 females completed the task, either under instructions to work guickly, work accurately, to work as quickly as possible without making unnecessary errors or no instructions. For the interaction p = .03• will we need an LSD_{mmd} to compare cell mea why or why not? • what will "n" be? For the main effect of instruction p = .02 will we need an LSD_{mmd} to compare marginal why or why not? • what will "n" be? will we need an LSDmmd to compare cell me why or why not? • what will "n" be? For the main effect of gender p = .02• will we need an LSD_{mmd} to compare margina why or why not? • what will "n" be? will we need an LSDmmd to compare cell me

why or why not?

• what will "n" be?

Gender	Quick	Instruc Accurate	tion Both	None	
Male Female					
ans?	Ye	p! sig. 200	Int & k / 8 = 2	= 8 ! 5	
Yep! sig. ME & k = 4 ! I means? 200 / 4 = 50					
eans?	! 5				
al mean	s?	Nope	– k = 2	2 !	
eans?		Yep! 200	sig. Int / 8 = 25	!	

õ