

Single-Factor MANOVA

1-way MANOVA:

- like ldf, takes multiple DVs and combines them into a "composite variable" that will have the largest possible F-value across those groups
- As with ldf, as many composite variables can be made as the smaller of # groups - 1 or the number of DVs
- You can examine the standardized weights and the structure weights to "interpret" the canonical variate
- If there are only 2 groups, the significance test is sufficient -- but if there are 3 or more groups, you will need to construct a score for each person (for each significant variate) and perform analyses upon these scores

For a demo, I'll use the 3-group variable REIN, from kxkbg_mult.sav (this is the 3-DV version of the reinforcement by task type data).

Using MANOVA currently requires the use of syntax code ...

```
manova numcor numtry resptime by rein (1, 3)           ← list DVs by IV(s) (with min & max grps)
/ print = signif (multiv, univ, eigen, dimenr)        ← gets various goodies
/ discrim stan cor.                                   ← don't forget the period !
```

EFFECT .. REIN

Multivariate Tests of Significance (S = 2, M = 0, N = 11 1/2)

Test Name	Value	Approx. F	Hypoth. DF	Error DF	Sig. of F
Pillais	.81738	5.99004	6.00	52.00	.000
Hotellings	1.42136	5.68542	6.00	48.00	.000
Wilks	.34566	5.84075	6.00	50.00	.000
Roys	.47185				

Note.. F statistic for WILKS' Lambda is exact.

Eigenvalues and Canonical Correlations

Root No.	Eigenvalue	Pct.	Cum. Pct.	Canon Cor.
1	.893	62.856	62.856	.687
2	.528	37.144	100.000	.588

Dimension Reduction Analysis

Roots	Wilks L.	F	Hypoth. DF	Error DF	Sig. of F
1 TO 2	.34566	5.84075	6.00	50.00	.000
2 TO 2	.65447	6.86327	2.00	26.00	.004

EFFECT .. REIN (Cont.)

Univariate F-tests with (2,27) D. F.

Variable	Hypoth. SS	Error SS	Hypoth. MS	Error MS	F	Sig. of F
NUMCOR	56.01525	180.04054	28.00762	6.66817	4.20020	.026
NUMTRY	199.57255	691.86066	99.78627	25.62447	3.89418	.033
RESPTIME	318.86314	532.71385	159.43157	19.73014	8.08061	.002

```

EFFECT .. REIN (Cont.)
Standardized discriminant function coefficients
Function No.

Variable          1          2

NUMCOR            .917        .472
NUMTRY            .293        .631
RESPTIME          1.192       -.307

```

***** Analysis of Variance -- design 1*****

```

EFFECT .. REIN (Cont.)
Correlations between DEPENDENT and canonical variables
Canonical Variable

Variable          1          2

NUMCOR            .263        .687
NUMTRY            .101        .727
RESPTIME          .612       -.707

```

Both variates are significant, but we don't know which groups are significant on which variates. We could re-analyze the data as an ldf and use the save command there to construct the variates, but since that approach won't work with factorial designs, might as well learn how to do it by hand...

Analyze → Descriptive Statistics → Descriptives

- Highlight and move the DVs
- Check the "Save standardized variables as variates" box

Using the standardized discriminant function coefficients from above compose a compute statement for each significant variate in the syntax window.

Compute rein_1 = (znumcor * .917) + (znumtry * .293) + (zresptim * 1.192).

Compute rein_2 = (znumcor * .472) + (znumtry * .631) + (zresptim * -.307).

Now just do an ANOVA for each, and follow-up with LSD as you normally would...