## Mann-Whitney U-test -- Analysis of 2-Between-Group Data with a Quantitative Response Variable

Application: To compare the distributions of scores on a quantitative response variable (which is either ordinal, not normally distributed or from a small sample) obtained from 2 groups. The U-test is often used as a nonparametric substitute for the between groups t-test.

Research Hypothesis: The researcher hypothesized that stores with separate reptile departments would have reptiles of better overall quality than stores that did not have separate reptile departments.

H0: Pet shops that do not have separate reptile departments have the same distribution of reptile quality ratings as shops that do have separate reptile departments.

### Step 1 -- Getting the Univariate Statistics

SPSS has no convenient way of getting nonparametric univariate stats for separate groups. What we have to do is to "split" fhe file into two subfiles, based on the grouping variable. Then we can get the nonparametric univariate statistics for each group. Then we have to "un-Split" the file.

# Data **→** Split File

- click "Organize output by groups"
- highlight the grouping varible (IV) and click the arrow to move it into the "Groups based on" window

## Analyze/Statistics → Summarize → Frequencies

- highlight the response variable and click the arrow button
- Click "Statistics" check "Quartiles" and "Median"

<ul> <li>๗ strrum</li> <li>๗ strrum</li> <li>๗ strrum</li> </ul>			><
number of repties	2		Paste
🖗 :ype of fish availab		1	<u>B</u> eset
<ul> <li>eting of fish qualit,</li> <li>humber of fish at s</li> </ul>			Carcel
<ul> <li>ype of store (chair</li> <li>humber of mamma</li> </ul>	n ⊧ <mark>∵</mark>		Help
C Disclay fragmenov t	ablac		

<ul> <li>surrum</li> <li>rating of reptile qua</li> <li>number of reptiles a</li> <li>type of fish availabl</li> <li>rating of fish quality</li> <li>number of fish at st</li> <li>type of store [chain</li> <li>number of mammal:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Analyze all cases, do not create groups</li> <li>Compare groups</li> <li>Organize output by groups</li> <li>Groups Based on:</li> <li>type or reptile department</li> </ul>	UK <u>P</u> aste <u>R</u> eset Cancel Help
<ul> <li>iuly</li> <li>december</li> <li>dosage</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sort the file by grouping variables</li> <li>File is already sorted</li> </ul>	

Percentile Values          Image: Outpoints for 10 equal groups         Image: Dercentile(s):         Add	Central Tendency	Continue Cancel Help
Change         Remove         Dispersion         Std. deviationi         Minimum         Variance         Maximum         Range         S.E. mean	✓ Values are group midp Distribution ✓ Skewness ✓ Kurtosis	oints

Frequencies: Statistics

## 'type of reptile department' = not separate

#### Statistics<sup>a</sup>

'rating of reptile quality - 1-10 sca	ale'
---------------------------------------	------

Ν	Valid	6
	Missing	0
Median		4.00
Percentiles	25	2.00
	50	4.00
	75	5.50

a. 'type of reptile department' = not separate

#### 'type of reptile department' = separate

Statistics<sup>a</sup>

rating of replie quality - 1-10 scal	'rating	of	reptile	quality	-	1-10	scale
--------------------------------------	---------	----	---------	---------	---	------	-------

Ν	Valid	6
	Missing	0
Median		7.50
Percentiles	25	6.25
	50	7.50
	75	9.00

a. 'type of reptile department' = separate

#### Step 2: Obtain the comparison of the two groups.

## Data **→** Split File

• click "Analyze all cases. Do not create groups."

## Analyze/Statistics → Nonparametric Tests → 2 Indepdent Samples

- highlight the quantitative response variable and click the arrow to move it to the "Test Variable List" window
- highlight the grouping variable (be sure there are only 2 groups) and click the arrow to move it to the "Grouping Variable" window
  - clck the "Define Groups" button -- enter the values you gave each group and click "continue"
- be sure the "Mann-Whitney U" is checked

#### Split File X Analyze all cases, do not create groups 🛞 strinum \* OK rating of reptile gua Compare groups C Paste rumper of reptiles a Organize output by groups type of fish availabl Groups Based on: Reset rating of fish quality 🗰 type or reptle departme Cancel number of fish at st 1 😥 type of store [chain Help number of mammal: 🋞 july Sort the file by grouping variables. december C File is already sorted ab dosage Current Status: Organize output by:reptdept



Ranks

	'type of reptile department'	Ν	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks
'rating of reptile quality -	not separate	6	4.17	25.00
1-10 scale'	separate	6	8.83	53.00
	Total	12		

<b>Test Statistics</b>	b	
------------------------	---	--

	ʻrating of reptile quality - 1-10 scale'	
Mann-Whitney U	4.000	
Wilcoxon W	25.000	
Z	-2.282	
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.022	
Exact Sig. [2*(1-tailed Sig.)]	.026 <sup>a</sup>	

a. Not corrected for ties.

b. Grouping Variable: 'type of reptile department'

, This version of the test is used with smaller sample sizes (n<20 for each group).

When n>20 for either or both groups, this version is used, which takes advantage of the near-normal distribution of samples at least this large, and also corrects for tied scores within the sample.

Reporting Results:

Those stores without separate reptile departments displayed reptiles with a median quality rating of 4 (IQR = 2-5.5), whereas those that did have separate departments displayed reptiles with a median rating of 7.5 (IQR = 6.25-9). As hypothesized, pet stores with separate reptile departments tended to have higher ratings than those which did not (U = 4.0, p = .026).