## A Slightly More Interesting Path Model w/ Interactions

Here's the proposed structural model for how these variables relate to reports of Loneliness (RULS) of young adults, including a few interactions.

Interactions are assumed to "emerge" as a causal variable only after both of the related main effects are in effect.
Notice that the interactions of Age * Marital status don't have arrows. Then why include the interaction term in the depiction of the model? This is likely to happen when there is a specific RH: that a particular interaction does not contribute to a model, rather than that there isn't sufficient basis for hypothesizing about it. In order to test the hypothesis that this interaction does not contribute to the model, we have to include in the full model, but exclude it from the hypothesized model.


## "Preparing" Interaction Variables for the Analysis

There are three interactions terms involved in the model. For demonstration purposes, there is one each of: 1) an interaction between a quant variable and a dummy-coded binary variable, 2) an interaction between a quant variable and a dummy-coded multiple-category variable, and 3 ) an interaction between two quantitative variables.

We will need to center each of the quantitative variables involved in an interaction.

Centering variables reduces the colinearity among the main effects and the related interaction components of a multiple regression model.

In order to center a quantitative variable we need to know its mean.

Centering a variable involves subtracting the mean of the variable from each person's score.

Here are the compute commands to create each of the centered variables.

Next we have to compute the interaction terms.
Each interaction term is computed as a product of the related main effect terms.

Interaction terms for multiple-category variables that are represented by dummy codes are formed for each of those dummy codes, by multiplying that dummy code with the centered

Here are the compute statements to create each of the interaction terms we need for this model.
Descriptive Statistics

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation |
| AGE | 405 | 28.48 | 10.885 |
| years separate from | 405 | 9.62 | 10.448 |
| family | 405 | 5.5049 | 1.45084 |
| family social support | 405 |  |  |
| Valid N (listwise) |  |  |  |



## Getting the Full Model w/ Interactions

The $1^{\text {st }}$ layer of the model will be the same as the earlier model. The second layer requires a new analysis.


| Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model |  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized <br> Coefficients <br> Beta | t | Sig. |
|  |  | B | Std. Error |  |  |  |
| 1 | (Constant) | 40.117 | 1.308 |  | 30.659 | . 000 |
|  | GENDC | -. 631 | 1.005 | -. 028 | -. 628 | . 530 |
|  | AGECEN | . 430 | . 226 | . 412 | 1.901 | . 058 |
|  | FSSCEN | -3.515 | . 553 | -. 448 | -6.359 | . 000 |
|  | GEN_FSS | -. 213 | . 030 | -. 302 | -7.123 | . 001 |
|  | YSFCEN | . 003 | . 229 | . 003 | . 013 | . 990 |
|  | AGE_YSF | -. 006 | . 010 | -. 068 | -. 583 | . 561 |
|  | MARDC1 | -1.729 | 2.244 | -. 070 | -. 770 | . 442 |
|  | MARDC2 | -3.532 | 4.346 | -. 095 | -. 813 | . 417 |
|  | AGE_MVS | -. 300 | . 285 | -. 157 | -1.052 | . 294 |
|  | AGE_MVD | -. 108 | . 429 | -. 043 | -. 252 | . 801 |

a. Dependent Variable: Ioneliness


## Evaluating the Hypothesized Model Based on the Full Model

This is useful but somewhat tentative, because of colinearity changes between the full and hypothesized models.

## "Paths that Support the Hypothesized Model"

Sig. hypothesized paths
Non-sig null paths:

## "Paths that are Contrary to the Hypothesized Model" <br> Nonsig hypothesized paths:

Sig. null paths:

## Hypothesized Model

Again, the $1^{\text {st }}$ layer of the model will be the same as the earlier model. The second layer requires a new analysis.

| Model Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model R R Square Adjusted <br> R Square Std. Error of <br> the Estimate <br> 1 $.530^{\mathrm{a}}$ .281 .268 9.734a. Predictors: (Constant), MARDC2, GEN_FSS, <br> GENDC, MARDC1, AGE_YSF, FSSCEN, YSFCEN |  |  |  |  |  |

Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model |  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Standardized } \\ \text { Coefficients } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { Beta } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | t | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Std. Error |  |  |  |
| 1 | (Constant) | 40.256 | 1.278 |  | 31.496 | . 000 |
|  | GENDC | -. 886 | . 994 | -. 039 | -. 891 | . 373 |
|  | FSSCEN | -3.613 | . 552 | -. 461 | -6.543 | . 000 |
|  | GEN_FSS | . 119 | . 695 | . 012 | . 171 | . 864 |
|  | YSFCEN | . 376 | . 101 | . 345 | 3.706 | . 000 |
|  | AGE_YSF | -. 013 | . 005 | -. 150 | -2.587 | . 010 |
|  | MARDC1 | -2.967 | 1.828 | -. 119 | -1.623 | . 105 |
|  | MARDC2 | -2.519 | 2.294 | -. 068 | -1.098 | . 273 |

a. Dependent Variable: loneliness


| Age * Marital Status |
| :---: |
| Age * Single vs. Married |
| Age * Single vs. DWS |

